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House Bill 22, 85th Texas Legislature

“The commissioner shall evaluate school district and campus performance and assign each district and campus an overall performance rating of”

A B C D or F
A-F Accountability: New Labels/Grades

A = Exemplary Performance
B = Recognized Performance
C = Acceptable Performance
D = In Need of Improvement
F = Unacceptable Performance
Three Domains: Combining to Calculate Overall Rating

Better of Achievement or Progress
70%

30%

Student Achievement
School Progress
Closing The Gaps
Student Achievement Domain: Performance

Student Achievement

School Progress

Closing The Gaps
Student Achievement Domain: Components

- Elementary School
- Middle School
- High School
  - College, Career, Military Ready (CCMR)
  - Graduation Rates
### Student Achievement Domain: Weighting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary/Middle Schools</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Schools, K-12, and Districts</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAAR</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board
By 2030, at least 60 percent of Texans ages 25-34 will have a certificate or degree.

#### Student Achievement Domain: Calculating a Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Students</th>
<th>Total Tests</th>
<th>Approaches Grade Level or Above</th>
<th>Meets Grade Level or Above</th>
<th>Masters Grade Level</th>
<th>% Approaches Grade Level or Above</th>
<th>% Meets Grade Level or Above</th>
<th>% Masters Grade Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,212</td>
<td>2,977</td>
<td>1,945</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>92.7%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Student Achievement Score**

\[
\text{Average of 3} = \frac{92.7 + 60.6 + 27.3}{3} = 60.2
\]
One point is given for each percentage of assessment results that are at or above the following*:

- Approaches Grade Level or Above
- Meets Grade Level or Above
- Masters Grade Level

Percentage of Assessments at Approaches Grade Level or Above + Percentage of Assessments at Meets Grade Level or Above + Percentage of Assessments at Masters Grade Level

Three

*Substitute assessments are included at the Meets Grade Level standard.
Student Achievement Domain: CCMR Indicators for HS, K–12, and Districts

**College Ready**
- Meet criteria of 3 on AP or 4 on IB examinations
- Meet TSI criteria (SAT/ACT/TSIA/College Prep course) in reading and mathematics
- Complete a course for dual credit (9 hours or more in any subject or 3 hours or more in ELAR/mathematics)
- Earn an associate’s degree
- Complete an OnRamps course*
- Meet standards on a composite of indicators indicating college readiness*

*Implementation in 2019 & beyond

**Career Ready**
- Earn industry-based certification
- CTE coherent sequence coursework completion and credit aligned with approved industry-based certifications (one-half point credit)
- Graduate with completed IEP and workforce readiness (graduation type code of 04, 05, 54, or 55)
- Be admitted to postsecondary industry certification program*

**Military Ready**
Enlist in the United States Armed Forces
CCMR

- One point is given for each annual graduate who accomplishes any one of the CCMR indicators, except for CTE coherent sequence graduates.

- One-half point is given for each CTE coherent sequence graduate who completes coursework aligned to the approved list of industry-based certifications.*

*This is for graduates who meet no other CCMR indicator.
CTE Coherent Sequence to Industry-Based Certifications Transition Plan

- For 2018 and 2019, CTE coherent sequence graduates who complete and receive credit for at least one course aligned with CTE industry-based certification earn one-half point.
- For 2020 and 2021, CTE coherent sequence graduates who complete and receive credit for a pathway of courses toward an industry-based certification earn one-half point.
- For 2022 and beyond, only graduates who earn an industry-based certification earn one point.
Graduation Rate

- High school graduation rates include the four-year, five-year, or six-year longitudinal graduation rate (with state exclusions) or annual dropout rate, if the graduation rate is not available.
School Progress Domain

Student Achievement

School Progress

Closing The Gaps
**School Progress Domain:** Two Aspects to Progress

**Part A:** Academic Growth

**Part B:** Relative Performance
Elementary, Middle, High Schools, K-12, and Districts

- The higher scaled score of Part A: Academic Growth or Part B: Relative Performance is used for the School Progress domain rating.
School Progress Domain: Two Aspects to Progress

Part A: Academic Growth

Part B: Relative Performance
Part A: Academic Growth

- School Progress, Part A: Academic Growth includes all assessments with a STAAR progress measure.* Districts and campuses (including high schools) earn credit for results that maintain proficiency or meet growth expectations on STAAR.

*Substitute assessments are not included.
Academic Growth: Measuring Advancement

3rd Grade Example

- Did Not Meet
- Approaches
- Meets
- Masters

4th Grade Example

- Did Not Meet
- Approaches
- Meets
- Masters

STARR Performance Level

Exceeds
+1 Point Awarded
For meeting or exceeding expected growth

Expected
+.5 Points Awarded
For maintaining proficiency but failing to meet expected growth

Maintains

Limited
+0 Points Awarded
For falling to a lower level
### Current Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did Not Meet Grade Level</th>
<th>Approaches Grade Level</th>
<th>Meets Grade Level</th>
<th>Masters Grade Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Meet Grade Level</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt Did not meet = 0 pts</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt Did not meet = .5 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches Grade Level</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt Did not meet = 0 pts</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt Did not meet = .5 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets Grade Level</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt Did not meet = .5 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters Grade Level</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## STAAR Alternate 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level I: Developing</th>
<th>Level II: Satisfactory</th>
<th>Level III: Accomplished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Year</strong></td>
<td><strong>Current Year</strong></td>
<td><strong>Previous Year</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet = 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>Did not meet = .5 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
School Progress Domain, Part B: Relative Performance

Part A: Academic Growth

Part B: Relative Performance
Part B: Relative Performance

School Progress, Part B: Relative Performance evaluates the achievement of all students relative to districts or campuses with similar socioeconomic statuses.
STAAR and CCMR Results for All Students

% Economically Disadvantaged Students

Higher Levels of Student Achievement

A campus with fewer economically disadvantaged students on average has higher levels of student achievement.

A campus with more economically disadvantaged students tends to have lower levels of student achievement.

Includes STAAR and CCMR for districts and campuses that have that data.

Average Line

Higher Rates of Economically Disadvantaged Students
Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

- Higher Levels of Student Achievement
- STAAR and CCMR Results for All Students
- % Economically Disadvantaged Students
- Higher Rates of Economically Disadvantaged
Part B: Relative Performance—Elementary Schools

- Student Achievement STAAR component results compared to elementary schools with similar percentages of economically disadvantaged students

Part B: Relative Performance—Middle Schools

- Student Achievement STAAR component results* compared to middle schools with similar percentages of economically disadvantaged students

*Substitute assessments are included at the Meets Grade Level standard.
Part B: Relative Performance—High Schools, K-12, and Districts with CCMR Component

- Student Achievement STAAR component* and CCMR component results averaged compared to districts or campuses with similar percentages of economically disadvantaged students.

Part B: Relative Performance—High Schools, K-12, and Districts without CCMR Component

- Student Achievement STAAR component* results compared to districts or campuses with similar percentages of economically disadvantaged students.

Part B: Relative Performance—AEA Charter Schools and Campuses

- Alternative education accountability (AEA) charter schools and campuses are not evaluated on School Progress, Part B due to the small number of districts and campuses used for comparison.

*Substitute assessments are included at the Meets Grade Level standard.
Closing the Gaps Domain: Ensuring Educational Equity

Student Achievement

School Progress

Closing The Gaps
Closing the Gaps Domain: Student Groups

Race/Ethnicity

Special Education

Continuously Enrolled and Mobile

English Learners (ELs)

Economically Disadvantaged
# Closing the Gaps Domain: Ensuring Educational Equity

## Student Groups
- All Students
- African American
- Hispanic
- White
- American Indian
- Asian
- Pacific Islander
- Two or More Races
- Economically Disadvantaged
- Current and Former Special Education
- Current and Monitored English Learners
- Continuously Enrolled/Non-Continuously Enrolled

## Components
- Academic Achievement in Reading and Mathematics (At Meets Grade Level or Above)
- Growth in Reading and Mathematics (Elementary and Middle Schools)
- 4-year Federal Graduation Rate (High Schools, K-12, and Districts)
- College, Career, and Military Readiness (High Schools, K-12, and Districts)
- Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only (Elementary and Middle Schools)
- English Language Proficiency Status (Will seek one-year waiver)
**Academic Achievement**

- STAAR performance (percentage at Meets Grade Level or Above)
- ELA/Reading
- Mathematics

**Targets**

- By student group and subject area

*Substitute assessments are included at the Meets Grade Level standard.*
**Closing the Gaps Domain: Components**

**Growth**
- Elementary and Middle Schools (School Progress Domain)
  - ELA/Reading
  - Mathematics

**Graduation Rate**
- High Schools, K-12, Districts
  - 4-year Federal Graduation Rate (without exclusions)

**Targets**
- By student group
- For Growth, by subject area
School Quality and Student Success

- High Schools, K-12, and Districts
  - College, Career, and Military Readiness*
- Elementary and Middle Schools
  - Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Only

Targets

- By student group

*Evaluates annual graduates plus students in grade 12 during school year 2016-17 as reported in TSIS PEIMS who did not graduate.
Participation Status

- 95 percent target
- Based on STAAR and TELPAS assessment results
- Students taking substitute assessments are included as participants.
- No Authentic Academic Response (NAAR) designations are included as participants.
- Medical exception and medically exempt designations are not included in the numerator or denominator.
- Should the participation status for the all students group or any student group fall below 95 percent, the denominator used for calculating the Closing the Gaps Academic Achievement component is adjusted to include the necessary number of assessments to meet the 95 percent threshold.
Adjusted Academic Achievement Performance Calculation Example

- The campus’s participation rate for ELA/reading is 93 percent.

\[
\frac{93 \text{ scored answered documents}}{100 \text{ scored, absent, or other answer documents}}
\]

- The performance denominator must be adjusted to include enough assessments to meet the 95 percent target.
Adjusted Academic Achievement Performance Calculation Example (cont’d.)

- Original ELA/Reading Academic Achievement Performance Calculation:
  
  \[
  \frac{53 \text{ assessments at Meets Grade Level or above standard}}{93 \text{ scored assessments that meet accountability subset (out of the 100 total assessments)}} = 57\%
  \]

- Adjusted ELA/Reading Academic Achievement Performance Calculation:
  
  \[
  \frac{53 \text{ assessments at Meets Grade Level or above standard}}{95 \text{ assessments (93 scored plus 2 absent/other)}} = 56\%
  \]
Closing the Gaps Domain: Calculating a Rating

Student Group

Achievement Target

% of Student Groups that Meet Target

Overall Domain Grade
### Closing the Gaps Domain: Weighting

#### Elementary/Middle Schools

- **Academic Achievement** 30%
- **STAAR Growth Status** 50%
- **English Language Proficiency** 10%
- **Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only** 10%

---

1. These weights reflect a planned amendment to the ESSA state plan.

2. Due to changes to the TELPAS, Texas will request a waiver from the U.S.D.E. to waive the English Language Proficiency component for 2018 accountability. If granted, the English Language Proficiency component weight will be distributed proportionally.
CLOSING THE GAPS DOMAIN: WEIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Schools, K-12, AEAs, and Districts</th>
<th>Weight¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Achievement</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Graduation Status</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(STAAR Growth Status if not available)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Language Proficiency²</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College, Career, and Military Readiness</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Student Achievement Domain Score:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAAR Component Only if not available)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ These weights reflect a planned amendment to the ESSA state plan.

² Due to changes to the TELPAS, Texas will request a waiver from the U.S.D.E. to waive the English Language Proficiency component for 2018 accountability. If granted, the English Language Proficiency component weight will be distributed proportionally.
## Closing the Gaps Domain: Calculating a Rating

### Example of Elementary School Closing the Gaps Domain Calculation

The sample elementary campus has met the minimum number of evaluated indicators in all four components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage of Evaluated Indicators Met</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Total Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Academic Achievement</strong></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STAAR Growth Status</strong></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>English Language Proficiency</strong>*</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Closing the Gaps Domain Score**: 78

*Due to changes to the TELPAS, Texas will request a waiver from the U.S.D.E. to waive the English Language Proficiency component for 2018 accountability. If granted, the English Language Proficiency component weight will be distributed proportionally.
Alternative education accountability (AEA) charter schools and campuses are evaluated in the domains, components, and indicators as explained in this presentation with the exception of School Progress, Part B.

AEA charter schools and campuses are not evaluated on School Progress, Part B due to the small number of districts and campuses used for comparison.

Alternative procedures applicable to the graduation rate and annual dropout rate calculations are provided for approved campuses and charter schools serving at-risk students in alternative education programs.

Targets and cut points established by campus type have AEA-specific targets and cut points, as applicable.
English Learners (ELs) who are year one in U.S. schools are excluded from accountability performance calculations.

Due to changes to the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), Texas will request a waiver from the U.S.D.E. to exclude EL students who are year two in U.S. schools from 2018 performance calculations. If granted, ELs who are in their second year in U.S. schools will be included in accountability for 2019 and beyond.

STAAR Alternate 2 assessment results will be included regardless of an EL’s years in U.S. schools.

In 2018, the STAAR progress measure is used for ELs and non-ELs in the School Progress, Part A domain.

Unschooled asylees, unschooled refugees, and students with interrupted formal education (SIFEs) are not included in state accountability until their sixth year of enrollment in U.S. schools.
Calculating an Overall Rating

Better of Achievement or Progress
70%

Student Achievement
School Progress
Closing The Gaps

30%
Districts

- Scaled scores were created to align letter grades and scores used in the A–F academic accountability system to the common conception of letter grades.

Campuses

- Scaled scores were established by campus type.
Step 1: Determine the better outcome of the Student Achievement and the School Progress domain scaled scores.

Step 2: Weight the better outcome of the Student Achievement or the School Progress domain scaled score at 70 percent.

Step 3: Weight the Closing the Gaps domain scaled score at 30 percent.

Step 4: Total the weighted outcome of the two scaled scores to calculate the overall score.
Calculating an Overall Rating: Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Scaled Score</th>
<th>Better of School Progress Part A or Part B</th>
<th>Better of Student Achievement or School Progress</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Weighted Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Achievement</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Progress, Part A</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Progress, Part B</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing the Gaps</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2018 District Overall Rating

B
2018 Rating Labels

**Districts**

- **A, B, C, or D**: Assigned for overall performance and for performance in each domain to districts that meet the required performance target for the letter grade.

- **F**: Assigned for overall performance and for performance in each domain to districts (including AEAs) that do not meet the required performance target to earn at least a D.

- **Not Rated**: Assigned to districts that—under certain, specific circumstances—do not receive a rating.
Campuses

- **Met Standard:** Assigned for overall performance and for performance in each domain to campuses that meet the required performance targets.

- **Improvement Required:** Assigned for overall performance and for performance in each domain to campuses (including AEAs) that do not meet the required performance targets.

- **Met Alternative Standard:** Assigned for overall performance and for performance in each domain to alternative education campuses evaluated under alternative education accountability (AEA) provisions that meet the required performance targets.

- **Not Rated:** Assigned to campuses that—under certain, specific circumstances—do not receive a rating.
The 2018 cut points for districts and campuses will reflect high expectations for student achievement, school progress, and reducing achievement gaps among students.

An effort is being made to establish A cut points equating high achievement and C cut points equating average achievement.

Additional information about cut points and look up tables is expected to be released with the 2018 Accountability Manual.
Distinction Designations Updates
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distinction Designation</th>
<th>Update(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Subject Area Distinction Designations</td>
<td>Advanced/Dual-Credit Course Completion Rate indicator evaluates grades 9–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 25 Percent: Student Progress</td>
<td>Awarded if School Progress, Part A domain scaled score ranks in top 25 percent (Q1) of campuses in campus comparison group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 25 Percent: Closing Performance Gaps</td>
<td>Awarded if Closing the Gaps domain scaled score ranks in top 25 percent (Q1) of campuses in campus comparison group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Postsecondary Readiness                      | • Percentage of STAAR Results at Meets Grade Level Standard or Above (All Subjects) indicator replaces Index 4–Percentage at STAAR Meets Grade Level Standard  
• Percentage of Grade 3–8 Results at Meets Grade Level or Above in Both Reading and Mathematics indicator added  
• College, Career, and Military Ready Graduates indicator added  
• TSI Criteria Graduate indicator replaces College Ready Graduates indicator |

2018 Distinction Designations Updates
Comprehensive, Targeted, and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement
Comprehensive Support and Improvement Identification

- The Closing the Gaps domain scaled score is used to rank and identify schools for comprehensive support and improvement.

- The agency identifies at least the lowest five percent of Title I, Part A campuses, based on the scaled score, for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Campuses that do not rank in the bottom five percent of the Closing the Gaps domain for two consecutive years and have increased a letter grade (for example, from F to D or from D to C) on the Closing the Gaps domain will be considered as having successfully exited comprehensive support and improvement status.
Comprehensive Support and Improvement Identification (cont’d.)

- If a campus does have at least a 67 percent four-year graduation rate for the all students group, the campus is automatically identified for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Any Title I campus identified for targeted support and improvement for three consecutive years will be identified for comprehensive support and improvement the following school year.

- TEA will annually identify campuses for comprehensive support and improvement beginning with the August 2018 accountability release, which is based on school year 2017–18 performance data.
**Targeted Support and Improvement Identification**

- Student group achievement will be monitored annually through the Closing the Gaps domain.

- Any campus with one or more consistently underperforming student groups will be identified for targeted support and improvement.

- TEA defines “consistently underperforming” as a school having one or more student groups that do not meet interim benchmark goals for three consecutive years.

- Campuses will be identified annually for the first time in August 2019 based on 2017, 2018, and 2019 data.

*beginning with 2018-19 ratings*
Additional Targeted Support Identification

- Any campus that is not identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement will be identified for additional targeted support if an individual student group’s percentage of evaluated indicators met is at or below the percentage used to identify that campus type for comprehensive support.

- For example if 25 percent of evaluated indicators met is the cut point for elementary schools to be identified for comprehensive support, then any elementary campus with a student group that has met 25 percent or fewer of its evaluated indicators will be identified for additional targeted support.

- To exit, any consistently underperforming student group must meet at least 50 percent of the indicators evaluated and meet the targets for Academic Achievement component in both reading and mathematics.

- Identification will begin with the August 2018 school ratings and will occur on an annual basis.
### 2018 Accountability Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, April 10</td>
<td>Final decisions for 2018 accountability released (public web)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 30–May 11</td>
<td>Campus pairing process (TEASE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May–June</td>
<td>Public comment period for Chapters 1–9 of the 2018 Accountability Manual (public web)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>List of 2018 campus comparison groups released (TEASE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Confidential lists of College, Career, and Military Ready graduates for 2018 state accountability released (TEASE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Summer</td>
<td>2018 Accountability Manual and appendices released (public web)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 15</td>
<td>2018 accountability ratings released (public web)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 15</td>
<td>Campuses identified under new PEG criteria for 2019-20 school year released (public web)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each year, TEA produces a list of campuses identified under the Public Education Grant (PEG) criteria.

HB 22 changed the criteria for identifying PEG campuses: those that receive an Improvement Required rating in both the Student Achievement domain and the School Progress domain in August 2018 will be on the 2019–20 PEG List.

The list of 2019–20 PEG campuses will be released on August 15, 2018.

For more information about the PEG program, please see the PEG webpage on the TEA website at [https://tea.texas.gov/PEG.aspx](https://tea.texas.gov/PEG.aspx).
Resources

• [http://tea.texas.gov/A-F](http://tea.texas.gov/A-F)
• [https://tea.texas.gov/perfreport/resources/index.html](https://tea.texas.gov/perfreport/resources/index.html)
Performance Reporting Resources and Contacts

- Performance Reporting Home Page
  http://tea.texas.gov/accountability/

- TEA ESSA Page
  https://tea.texas.gov/ESSA/

- Local Accountability Systems Feedback Email
  feedbackLAS@tea.texas.gov

- Performance Reporting Email
  performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov

- Performance Reporting Telephone
  (512) 463-9704